#### Dark Matter Theory and New Searches

Rebecca K. Leane

#### CAASTRO-CoEPP Workshop January 30th, 2017

# $\label{eq:Dark} \begin{array}{l} {\sf Dark matter theory overview} \\ + \mbox{ work in preparation with Kenny Ng and John Beacom} \end{array}$





#### Abundance of evidence!



#### What we think we know about dark matter

#### Dark matter is believed to be:

- About 5 times more abundant than baryonic matter
- Stable, or has lifetime greater than age of universe
- Gravitationally interacting
- Either neutral or very lightly charged under EM

### What we think we know about dark matter

#### Dark matter is believed to be:

- About 5 times more abundant than baryonic matter
- Stable, or has lifetime greater than age of universe
- Gravitationally interacting
- Either neutral or very lightly charged under EM
- Mostly dissipationless
  - Dark haloes do not allow for large amounts of cooling via radiation
  - Small fraction could be allowed via dark radiation (i.e. double disk DM)

### What we think we know about dark matter

#### Dark matter is believed to be:

- About 5 times more abundant than baryonic matter
- Stable, or has lifetime greater than age of universe
- Gravitationally interacting
- Either neutral or very lightly charged under EM
- Mostly dissipationless
  - Dark haloes do not allow for large amounts of cooling via radiation
  - Small fraction could be allowed via dark radiation (i.e. double disk DM)
- Either non self-interacting or self-interacting
- Mostly either cold (non-relativistic) or warm (semi-relativistic)
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Cold  $\rightarrow$  missing satelites, cusp predicted but core observed
  - Warm  $\rightarrow$  not enough satelites

Many DM masses possible. Can be roughly  $10^{-31} < m_{\chi} < 10^{48}$  GeV!



### Searches for particle dark matter

- WIMP dark matter well motivated: weak scale masses and interaction strengths
- Many candidates predicted by UV theories
- Realistic detection prospects



#### Effective field theories for dark matter



- Model independent
- Useful at low energies, i.e. direct detection
- Colliders? Need to be careful. Cutoff at new physics scale.

### Simplified models for dark matter

- Only lightest mediator is retained, set limits on couplings and mediators
- Allows for richer phenomenology

#### Benchmark Simplified Models:



### Status of simplified DM models

- Not intrinsically capable of capturing full phenomenology of UV complete theories
  - Fine, but need to use when appropriate
  - Issues with gauge invariance have motivated next generation, "less simplified models"

### Status of simplified DM models

- Not intrinsically capable of capturing full phenomenology of UV complete theories
  - Fine, but need to use when appropriate
  - Issues with gauge invariance have motivated next generation, "less simplified models"



• Good to be model independent where possible, but also need to ensure models are physically consistent, not to miss important phenomenology

• Another important avenue for discovery is finding distinctive new signatures, exploiting strengths of different experiments

DM can be captured in the Sun by scattering with solar nuclei.

- Of possible DM annihilation modes, only neutrinos weakly interacting enough to escape
- These neutrinos are measured at SuperK and IceCube, provide probe of DM scattering cross section
- What if DM annihilates to long-lived mediators instead?

### Solar signatures of long-lived dark mediators

If annihilation proceeds via long-lived dark mediators:

- Neutrinos will be less attenuated
- Other particles such as gamma-rays can escape



### Measuring gamma-rays with new Fermi-LAT data

Standard annihilation fluxes of DM to gamma-rays are enormous. For example, if 100 GeV DM with scattering  $\sigma_{\chi P}^{SD} \sim 10^{-40} \, {\rm cm}^2$  annihilates directly to gamma-rays, the energy flux is

$$\sim 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{GeV} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}.$$

In this region, the sensitivity of Fermi-LAT is

$$\sim 10^{-8} \, {\rm GeV} \, {\rm cm}^{-2} \, {\rm s}^{-1}.$$

The annihilation flux is in excess of sensitivity by a factor of  $10^6!$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Long-lived mediators open a window to otherwise lost DM signals, potentially large rates!

#### Gamma-rays:

- Current limits use Fermi data on solar gamma-rays
  - 2011 and 2015 analyses
- Future sensitivity with water cherenkov telescopes HAWC and LHAASO
  - ► HAWC has data, sensitive to very high (>TeV) gamma-rays
  - LHAASO upcoming, also extremely sensitive to very high (>TeV) gamma-rays

#### Gamma-rays:

- Current limits use Fermi data on solar gamma-rays
  - 2011 and 2015 analyses
- Future sensitivity with water cherenkov telescopes HAWC and LHAASO
  - ► HAWC has data, sensitive to very high (>TeV) gamma-rays
  - LHAASO upcoming, also extremely sensitive to very high (>TeV) gamma-rays

Neutrinos:

- Best gain for long-lived mediators is at higher (>TeV) energies
  - Less neutrino absorption by the solar matter
  - Less cooling of the secondaries (pions, muons etc)
- Use gigaton neutrino telescopes IceCube and KM3Net

#### DM scattering cross section limits: Gamma-rays

Can outperform direct detection exps by several orders of magnitude!



$$\chi\chi 
ightarrow YY 
ightarrow 2$$
 ( SM + SM )  $ightarrow ... \gamma ... \gamma$ 

#### DM scattering cross section limits: Neutrinos

Outperforms both direct detection exps and neutrino telescopes



### Conclusions

- Exploiting complementary of searches key for DM discovery, as well as common elements to many theories
- DM annihilation to long-lived mediators in the Sun provides probe of DM scattering cross section
- Can outperform direct detection exps by several orders of magnitude
- Potential signal can be tested at both direct and indirect detection exps
  - Further, gamma-ray and neutrino telescopes can allow for cross-check between different indirect detection channels

Exciting time with new searches with TeV gamma-ray telescopes and observatories, and new data from neutrino telescopes!

## **Backup slides**

### Long-lived dark mediator flux

$$E^2 \frac{d\Phi}{dE} = \frac{\Gamma_{\rm ann}}{4\pi D_{\oplus}^2} \times E^2 \frac{dN}{dE} \times Br(Y \to SM) \times P_{\rm surv},$$
 (1)

where

- $D_{\oplus} = 1$  A.U. is the distance between the Sun and the Earth
- $E^2 dN/dE$  is the particle energy spectrum per DM annihilation
- $Br(Y \to SM)$  is the branching fraction of the mediator Y to SM particles
- $P_{\rm surv}$  is the probability of the signal surviving to reach the detector, given by

$$P_{\rm surv} = e^{-R_{\odot}/\gamma c\tau} - e^{-D_{\oplus}/\gamma c\tau}.$$
 (2)

Need mediator Y to have sufficiently long lifetime  $\tau$  or boost factor  $\gamma = m_{\chi}/m_{Y}$ , leading to a decay length L that exceeds the radius of the Sun,  $R_{\odot}$ , as

$$L = \gamma c \tau > R_{\odot}. \tag{3}$$

#### Signal survival probability



#### Gamma-ray limit procedure



 $\chi\chi \rightarrow YY \rightarrow 2$  (SM + SM)  $\rightarrow ...\gamma...$ 

### Gamma-ray limits



#### Neutrino limit procedure



 $\chi \chi \rightarrow YY \rightarrow 2 ( SM + SM ) \rightarrow ...\nu...$ 

### Long-lived dark mediator constraints

- **BBN:** The observed relic abundance of SM particles by BBN implies any new mediator must have lifetime  $\tau$  which satisfies  $\tau < 1$ s.
- **CMB:** DM annihilation to SM products in the early universe is constrained by the CMB.
- **Supernovae:** Particularly for low mass mediators (<GeV), from mediator decay and supernova cooling.
- **Colliders:** If the dark sector is secluded, may be negligible. Otherwise, Belle, BaBar, ATLAS and CMS
- Beam Dump/Fixed Target experiments: Most relevant when the mediator has ~sub-GeV mass. E137, LSND and CHARM
- Other indirect detection signals: Fermi-LAT and DES measurements of dSphs at low DM mass, and large positron signals can be constrained by AMS-02
- Thermalization and Unitarity: Issues with thermalization for > 10 TeV DM, and unitarity issues over  $\mathcal{O}(100)$  TeV DM mass. Furthermore bound state effects at high DM mass.

R.K.Leane (CoEPP, Melbourne U.)

CAASTRO-CoEPP Workshop

